Cardiac Motion Compensation from Short Scan CT Data: A Comparison of Three Algorithms

Juliane Hahn^{1,2}, Herbert Bruder¹, Thomas Allmendinger¹, Karl Stierstorfer¹, Thomas Flohr¹, and Marc Kachelrieß²

> ¹Siemens Healthcare GmbH, Forchheim, Germany ²German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ) Heidelberg, Germany







Introduction

- In cardiac CT, the imaging of small and fast moving vessels places high demands on the spatial and temporal resolution of the reconstruction.
- Mean displacements of
 d ≈ ^t/_{rot}/₂ v̄ ≈ ²⁵⁰/₂ ms 50 ^{mm}/_s = 6.25 mm
 (RCA mean velocity measurements^[1,2,3,4])
 are possible.
- Standard FDK-based cardiac reconstruction might have an insufficient temporal resolution introducing strong motion artifacts.

[1] Husmann et al. Coronary Artery Motion and Cardiac Phases: Dependency on Heart Rate -Implications for CT Image Reconstruction. Radiology, Vol. 245, Nov 2007.
[2] Shechter et al. Displacement and Velocity of the Coronary Arteries: Cardiac and Respiratory Motion. IEEE Trans Med Imaging, 25(3): 369-375, Mar 2006
[3] Vembar et al. A dynamic approach to identifying desired physiological phases for cardiac imaging using multislice spiral CT. Med. Phys. 30, Jul 2003.
[4] Achenbach et al. In-plane coronary arterial motion velocity: measurement with electronbeam CT. Radiology, Vol. 216, Aug 2000.



Reducing Motion Artifacts in Cardiac CT

- For single source systems, several software-based solutions have been developed.
- Especially beneficial in cases of patients with high or irregular heart rates or non-optimally chosen gating positions.



"Best phase"

Non-optimally chosen gating position





C = 300 HU; *W* = 1500 HU

Reducing Motion Artifacts in Cardiac CT

- Two approaches as a software-based solution to increase the temporal resolution are common:
- Reconstruction using less data than needed for the reconstruction of a single cardiac phase
 - Iterative reconstruction algorithms dealing with limited angle artifacts:
 - 1. Temporal Resolution Improvement Method (TRIM^[1])

[1] Schöndube et al. "Evaluation of a novel CT image reconstruction algorithm with enhanced temporal resolution" Medical Imaging 2016 : Physics of Medical Imaging, Proc. of SPIE Vol. 7961: 1605-7422

- Reducing motion artifacts by compensating for motion occurring during data acquisition
 - Motion compensation (MoCo) algorithms from short-scan data:
 - 2. Motion Artifact Metric method (MAM^[2])

[2] Rohkohl et al., "Improving best-phase image quality in cardiac CT by motion correction with MAM optimization", Med. Phys. 40 (3): 0094-2405, March 2013.

3. Motion Compensation based on Partial Angle Reconstructions (PAMoCo^[3]) [3] Hahn et al., "Reduction of motion artifacts in cardiac CT based on partial angle reconstructions from short scan data", Medical Imaging 2016 : Physics of Medical Imaging, Proc. of SPIE





TRIM

- Aim: Enhance the temporal resolution in CT beyond the shortscan limit.
- Idea: Increase the temporal resolution by using less than the short scan data for reconstruction ($p' \approx 120^\circ$).
- Workflow:









Minimize the cost function



• Extension of an SART, which optimizes the raw data fidelity

$$C_1(f) = |\mathsf{X}f - p'|^2.$$

Image to be reconstructed

by a regularization term $C_2(f)$.

Optimizing only C₁(*t*) would introduce limited angle artifacts, since p' ≈ 120° covers only a subset of the short-scan range.





TRIM

- To prevent from limited angle artifacts, local histograms of the initial short-scan reconstruction are calculated.
- After each SART step, the pixel values of the reconstruction, which are far away from a maximum in the histogram, are slightly pushed towards the closest maximum.
- Hence, the regularization term appears as a histogram constraint

$$C_2(f) = -\sum_i \log(\omega(f(x_i))).$$

Probability density defined by the local histograms





MAM

- Aim: Improve the image quality of best phase images.
- Idea: Estimate motion vectors by measuring the amount of motion artifacts in the reconstruction (adaption of auto focus concept from photography).







MAM Initial Reconstruction and Segmentation



- Perform an initial short scan reconstruction of the complete volume.
- Segmentation of one of the main coronary artery branches using an in-house algorithm.
- Generation of a region of interest (ROI) Ω_s incorporating all motion artifacts associated to the chosen coronary artery.









 Motion inside Ω_s is modeled by a 4D motion vector field (MVF) sub-sampled in time and space, with N_t temporal and N_x spatial control points:

> $M(t, x, s) = x + s_{t,x}.$ ↑
> Parameter vector
> Shift at time t
> and voxel x

• Between the control points, the MVF is approximated by spline interpolation and a dense MVF is generated.









• The MVFs are subject to the cost function optimization:

 $\hat{m{s}} = rgmin_{m{s}\in\mathbb{R}^{N_p}} \mathcal{L}$

 Entropy and Positivity are used as image artifact measuring cost functions and are optimized in an alternating manner using a gradient descent method.







 Motion-compensated reconstruction is obtained by taking the motion during the backprojection step into account (Schäfer's method^[1]).



Backprojection along new trajectories

• The final reconstruction is created by replacing the segmented, motion-compensated region Ω_s in the original reconstruction.





- Aim: Improve the image quality in the region of the coronary arteries for images featuring motion artifacts of different severity.
- Idea: Apply motion vectors on partial angle reconstructions to generate a fast reconstruction pipeline.
- Workflow:



SIEMENS



PAMOCO Generation of 2K+1 PARs





SIEMENS



PAMoCo Motion Model



SIEMENS

Motion inside Ω_s is modeled by a 4D vector field

$$\boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{x}, t) = \boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{d}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{x}, t),$$

whose temporal and spatial dependence along the center line is modeled by two low degree polynomials (P,L \leq 3)

$$\boldsymbol{d}(\boldsymbol{s},\lambda,t) = \sum_{p=0}^{P} \sum_{l=0}^{L} \boldsymbol{s}_{lp} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^l (t - t_0)^p.$$

 A dense MVF is generated by assigning a center line parameter λ to each voxel.



PAMoCo Motion Model



 Furthermore, in order to enforce a smooth transition from the sub-volume, which is subject to MoCo and the original reconstruction a dense MVF is created, which drops to zero at the borders of the volume by introducing the weighting term

$$\omega(\boldsymbol{x}) \equiv \omega(|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{c}(\lambda)|).$$







 Since the time frames of the PARs correspond to the different partial angle reconstructions, a motion-compensated reconstruction can be obtained by applying the MVF M on the PARs fk



$$f_{\text{MoCo}}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{s}) = \sum_{k=-K}^{K} f_k \left(\boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{x}, t_k) \right).$$

and adding the warped images.







- As motion artifact measuring cost functions the images entropy and the entropy of the absolute gradient image are chosen.
- The motion estimation routine is separated into two parts:
- Step 1: Brute force search
 - Crude scan of the parameter space assuming a linear motion pattern using the images entropy as cost function.



Step 2: Optimization

- Since the cost function is non-convex, the optimization is re-initialized multiple times at local minima found in step 1.
- For optimization an implementation of the gradient-free Powell's algorithm is used.



















SIEMENS

Applied motion



Data acquisition

- Rotation Time $t_{rot} = 250 \text{ ms}$ $\rightarrow t_{res}(FBP) \approx 125 \text{ ms}$
- Low pitch spiral scanning: $p \approx 0.2$
- → Reconstruction of multiple cardiac phases possible.



• We characterize the best phase, by the simulated phase featuring least absolute motion:



- MAM and TRIM aim at increasing the image quality close to the best phase.
- → Perform reconstructions at slightly shifted cardiac phases.

SIEMENS



• We characterize the best phase, by the simulated phase featuring least absolute motion:



- MAM and TRIM aim at increasing the image quality close to the best phase.
- → Perform reconstructions at slightly shifted cardiac phases.





Results Best Phase





HR = 70 bpm, c = 72%, C = 400 HU, W = 1500 HU



Results 5% off Best Phase





HR = 70 bpm, c = 67%, C = 400 HU, W = 1500 HU



Results 10% off Best Phase





 $\overline{\mathrm{HR}}$ = 70 bpm, c = 62%, C = 400 HU, W = 1500 HU

















HR = 74 bpm, c = 74%, C = 400 HU, W = 1500 HU













FBP





PAMoCo









FBP













FBP











FBP



PAMoCo





FBP



PAMoCo

curved MPRs of the RCA





Summary and Conclusion

- We see an increased sharpness of the coronary arteries in case of the phantom and the real data in cardiac phases close to the best phase in case of all three algorithms.
- Stepping further away from the best phase, the MoCo algorithms are able to enhance the temporal resolution beyond the TRIM limit.
- The PAMoCo algorithm is also able to correct for slightly more severe motion artifacts than MAM due to its global optimization character.
- Hence we conclude

FBP < TRIM < MAM < PAMoCo in their ability of increasing the temporal resolution.





Thank You!

This study was supported by Siemens Healthcare GmbH.

This presentation will soon be available at www.dkfz.de/ct.

Job opportunities through DKFZ's international PhD or Postdoctoral Fellowship programs (www.dkfz.de), or directly through Marc Kachelriess (marc.kachelriess@dkfz.de).



