Artifacts and Pitfalls in CT

Jan Kuntz

German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ) Heidelberg, Germany www.dkfz.de/ct





Definition: Imaging Artifacts

Imaging artifacts are misrepresentations in a resulting image with no real counterpart







Artifacts in Computed Tomography

Relevant artifacts in diagnostic CT

- Sampling artifacts
- Geometric artifacts
- Motion artifacts
- Metal artifacts
- •

Artifacts with minor relevance for diagnostic CT

- Come-beam artifacts
- Scatter artifacts



Cone-Beam Artifacts







Scatter Artifacts

Cone-Beam CT

Diagnostic CT







GE Revolution CT

Philips IQon Spectral CT

Siemens Somatom Force

Toshiba Aquilion ONE Vision









In-plane resolution: $0.4 \dots 0.7 \text{ mm}$ Nominal slice thickness: $S = 0.5 \dots 1.5 \text{ mm}$ Tube (max. values): 120 kW, 150 kV, 1300 mA Effective tube current: mAs_{eff} = 10 mAs ... 1000 mAs Rotation time: $T_{rot} = 0.25 \dots 0.5$ s Simultaneously acquired slices: $M = 16 \dots 320$ Table increment per rotation: $d = 1 \dots 183$ mm Scan speed: up to 73 cm/s Temporal resolution: 50 ... 250 ms



Motion Artifacts of the Heart



These are minimized or avoided using fast scan, phase-correlated scan and/or reconstruction techniques.

10000



Standard Display



0,5×0,5×0,5 mm³ C = 50 HU, W = 400 HU









0,5×0,5×10 mm³ C = 50 HU, W = 400 HU

the construction of the co

Sliding Thin Slab (STS) Display

IeW

Linear Partial Volume Effect





S = 5 mm











Partial Volume Effect: Experiment





Partial Volume Effect: Experiment





Linear and Non-Linear Partial Volume Effect

Log domain average (linear PVE) Intensity domain average (non-linear PVE) Intensity minus log domain average











C = 0 HU, W = 100 HU



C = 40 HU, W = 200 HU

Blooming Artifacts and their Reduction



- This shows a dedicated blooming artifact reduction approach based on a discrete tomography reconstruction technique.
- Blooming artifacts are also suppressed by today's iterative reconstruction algorithms.

C = 0 HU, W = 1000 HU



Sampling Artifacts and their Removal

 $S_{eff} = 3 \text{ mm}, RI = 3 \text{ mm}$

 $S_{\text{eff}} = 3 \text{ mm}, RI = 1 \text{ mm}$



Always perform Overlapping Recons!

C = 0 HU, *W* = 800 HU



Windmill Artifacts and their Removal



ASSR reconstruction, p = 1.0, (C = 0 HU, W = 200 HU)







BH: Perfusion Analysis in CT



Beam hardening artifacts cause an underestimation of the CT-values leading to incorrect perfusion parameters!



Beam Hardening

- Measurement $q = -\ln \int dE w(E) e^{-\int dL \mu(\boldsymbol{r}, E)}$
- Single material approximation: $\mu(\boldsymbol{r}, E) = f_1(\boldsymbol{r})\psi_1(E)$

$$q = -\ln \int dE \, w(E) e^{-p_1 \psi_1(E)}$$

 \rightarrow cupping artifacts, first order BH artifacts \rightarrow cupping correction (water precorrection)

• Two material case: $\mu(\boldsymbol{r}, E) = f_1(\boldsymbol{r})\psi_1(E) + f_2(\boldsymbol{r})\psi_2(E)$

$$q = -\ln \int dE \, w(E) e^{-p_1 \psi_1(E)} - p_2 \psi_2(E)$$

 \rightarrow banding artifacts, higher order BH artifacts \rightarrow higher order BH correction



Patient Data Spiral 4-Slice CT Scan at 120 kV

Original Image

BHC Image

Original minus BHC



(C = 40 HU, W = 150 HU)

(C = 0 HU, W = 100 HU)

Red values indicate the range of CT-values within the corresponding ROI in HU

M. Kachelrieß, and W.A. Kalender, "Improving PET/CT attenuation correction with iterative CT beam hardening correction," IEEE Medical Imaging Conference Program, M04-5, October 2005.





(*C* = 40 HU, *W* = 150 HU)

(C = 0 HU, W = 100 HU)

M. Kachelrieß, and W.A. Kalender, "Improving PET/CT attenuation correction with iterative CT beam hardening correction," IEEE Medical Imaging Conference Program, M04-5, October 2005.



Metal artifacts are



+ increased susceptibility to sampling artifacts and motion.



Linear Interpolation MAR (LIMAR)



W. A. Kalender, R. Hebel, and J. Ebersberger, "Reduction of CT artifacts caused by metallic implants," Radiology 164(2): 576–577, 1987.

Normalized MAR (NMAR)



Results and Comparison: Patient Data

Uncorrected

LIMAR





NMAR



Patient with hip implants, Sensation 16, 140 kV, (C = 0 HU, W = 500 HU)



Results and Comparison: Patient Data

Uncorrected

LIMAR





NMAR



Patient with hip implants, Sensation 16, 140 kV, (C = 500 HU, W = 1500 HU)



Results and Comparison: Patient Data

Uncorrected

LIMAR

NMAR



Patient dental fillings, slice 110, Somatom Definition Flash, pitch 0.9. Top row: (C = 100 HU, W = 750 HU). Bottom row: (C = 1000 HU, W = 4000 HU)



FSMAR: Scheme





FSMAR: Results

Uncorrected

LIMAR

NMAR



Patient with spine fixation, Somatom Definition, (C=100/W=1000).

Meyer, Raupach, Lell, Schmidt, and Kachelrieß, "Frequency split metal artifact reduction (FSMAR) in computed tomography", Med. Phys. 39(4):1904-1916, 2012.



NMAR: Results

Uncorrected

NMAR



Bone removal (with scanner software), (C=40/W=500).





| | | 0 | rdiar — | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--|--------------|
| | -C A | - V4 | scul | Topogram | Cut • Keep | |
| | E | | RT | Auto reference lines | None | |
| | | 1 | ecialli | Vvarktiov | (| - |
| Ŷ | | | ivatu — | APILanguage | German | |
| OK Cancel | | | | | | |
| REA (Adult) | 15.04.10-15:50:43-8 | STD-Specials | REA (Adu 1 | 5.04.10-15:50:43-S | TC Total mAs: | (|
| Topo RICHTUNG IIIIIII | Reconjob 🤱 | 23456 | 78 S | eries description Spirale | e 2.0 J30s 3 | - 1 |
| Topogram | Slice 2.0 mm 🗾 | | | Advanced reconstruction options | | |
| Topogram | ADMIRE 🗸 | Strer | igth 3 🛨 | | | |
| | Algorithm J3 | 80s medium smcj | IMAR 🔽 | Artifact correction | None | - |
| Rekons 3/3 | FAST - Window B | ase Orbita | 1 | | None Neuro coils Dental fillings Spine implants | |
| Pause | | FoV | 226 mm 🛨 | Image order | Shoulder implants Pacemaker | 1 |
| ROI A. descendens | 15 71 | Center X | 0 mm + | | Thoracic coils Hip implants | |
| PreMonitoring | Dwerview | Center Y | -5 mm 🛨 | No. | Extremity implants | 192 - |
| | Mirroring N | one | - | Comments Nativ | | - |
| Hold Share | Entended CiTisca | e | | | | - |
| Reson Reson | Routine | Scan | Recon | Auto Tasking | | |
| | Autotransfer is disabled o | lue to emergency i | registration | | 1 |)-Apr-2015 1 |

Truncation Artifacts



180°

Truncation Artifacts

Sinogram, Rawdata

Adaptive Detruncation Method (ADT)

Adaptive Detruncation Method (ADT)

Data consistency

$$l_1 = h_2 / \overline{\mu}$$
$$A_1 = A_2$$

Smooth extrapolation

$$\sqrt{a\xi^2+b\xi+c}$$

Example : 2 × 100 suppressed columns

 $M = -1.8 HU, \sigma = 8.6 HU$ $M = -0.8 HU, \sigma = 1.1 HU$

This presentation will soon be available at www.dkfz.de/ct. Parts of the reconstruction software were provided by RayConStruct[®] GmbH, Nürnberg, Germany.

