Balance zwischen Strahlenbelastung und Bildqualität in der CT: Optimierungsmaßnahmen

Marc Kachelrieß

German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ) Heidelberg, Germany www.dkfz.de/ct









- Radiation risk is proportional to radiation exposure
- Radiation exposure is proportional to
 - $\ \mathbf{mAs}_{\mathbf{eff}}$
 - CTDI_{vol}
 - DLP
- Image noise
 - increases with sharper reconstruction kernels
 - increases with thinner reconstructed slice thickness
 - decreases with increasing radiation exposure
 - decreases with iterative or deep learning reconstruction
 - increases with increasing patient thickness
- Iodine contrast increases with decreasing kV
- Patient-specific prefilters significantly reduce patient dose



Automatic Exposure Control by Specifying Image Quality Metrics

- Canon: The desired standard deviation in soft tissue is to be specified
- GE: A so-called "noise index" and a minimum and maximum mA value are chosen. Images reconstructed with a standard kernel will then show the specified noise in soft tissue regions
- Philips: A "baseline mAs" is chosen. The system will calculate tube current modulation curves, so that the resulting images will best correspond to "reference images"
- Siemens: The "IQ level", that replaces the former "reference mAs value", is chosen. It corresponds to a standard patient (75 kg adult) at 120 kV and scales across tube voltages and scanners, i.e. it is kV and scanner independent. Modulation strength can be set (very weak, weak, average, strong, very strong).





Effect of Modulation Strengths on Radiation Dose for Slim and Obese Patients

[1] The sophisticated algorithm provides desired image quality for all patients, slim to obese. Individual preferences on tube current increase and decrease can be realized by choosing strong, moderate or weak.





Automatic Tube Voltage Selection (ATVS)

- Adaption of the x-ray tube voltage to patient size and to the intended application as a means to reduce patient dose
- Contrast-enhanced applications benefit from ATVS. The CNR at equal radiation dose increases with decreasing x-ray tube voltage due to increased iodine contrast at lower kV settings.

2 CARE Dose4D ✓ CARE kV On	Quality ref. mAs 210 芸 Ref. kV 120 💌
Eff. mAs 416 kV 100 Organ characteristic: Abdomen	Dose saving optimized for:
CTDIvol (32cm): 16.43 mGy DLP: 396.4 mGy*cm	1 1 • 1 • 1 1 1 1 • 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12



Canon Sure k

Siemens Care kV

120 kV + 0 mm water with and without prefilter





120 kV + 320 mm water with and without prefilter





Reference	Торіс	Dose Reduction	Assessment	Recon
Agostini et al., 2021	chest, DECT, COVID-19	89%	subjective, different pitch values	iterative
Apfaltrer et al., 2018	coronary artery calcium scoring	73%	subjective	FBP
Axer et al., 2022	urolithiasis	20%	subjetive	iterative
Dewes et al., 2016	abdomen, urinary stones	22%	subjective	iterative
Gordic et al., 2014	chest, pulmonary nodules, phantom	95%	subjective	iterative
Grunz et al., 2022	urinary stone	18% - 38%	subjective, objective	iterative
Hasegawa et al., 2022	chest, detectability index, phantom	22% - 25%	objective	FBP
Jeon et al., 2019	DECT, gout diagnosis	65%	subjective, different scanners	iterative
Kimura et al., 2022	colorectal cancer	89%	subjective	iterative, FBP
Kunz et al., 2022	urinary tract	62%	frequency of calculi detection	iterative
Leyendecker et al., 2019	abdomen	81%	subjective, objective	iterative
Martini et al., 2016	chest, pulmonary nodules	97%	subjective	iterative
Rajendran et al., 2020	sinus, temporal bone	67% - 85%	objective, EICT and PCCT	FBP
Saltybaeva et al., 2019	topogram	80%	effect on TCM	-
Schabel et al., 2018	thoracic aorta calcification	92%	subjective	iterative
Schüle et al., 2022	pelvis	90%	subjective, objective	iterative, FBP
Takemitsu et al., 2022	topogram	80%	effect on TCM	-
Weis et al., 2017	chest, pediatric	77%	subjective, objective	iterative
Wuest et al., 2016	paranasal sinus	73%	subjective, different scanners	FBP
Zhang et al., 2022	guided lung biopsy	73%	subjective	iterative





- Agostini, Andrea, et al. "Third-generation iterative reconstruction on a dual-source, high-pitch, low-dose chest CT protocol with tin filter for spectral shaping at 100 kV: a study on a small series of COVID-19 patients." *La radiologia medica* 126:388–398, 2021.
- Apfaltrer, Georg, et al. "High-pitch low-voltage CT coronary artery calcium scoring with tin filtration: accuracy and radiation dose reduction." *European Radiology* 28(7):3097-3104, 2018.
- Axer, Benedikt, et al. "Comparative evaluation of diagnostic quality in native low-dose CT without and with spectral shaping employing a tin filter in urolithiasis with implanted ureteral stent." *RöFo-Fortschritte auf dem Gebiet der Röntgenstrahlen und der bildgebenden Verfahren* 194(12):1358-1366, 2022.
- Dewes, Patricia, et al. "Low-dose abdominal computed tomography for detection of urinary stone disease Impact of additional spectral shaping of the x-ray beam on image quality and dose parameters." *European Journal of Radiology* 85(6):1058-1062, 2016.
- Gordic, Sonja, et al. "Ultralow-dose chest computed tomography for pulmonary nodule detection: First performance evaluation of single energy scanning with spectral shaping." *Investigative Radiology* 49(7):465-473, 2014.
- Grunz, Jan-Peter, et al. "Thermoluminescence dosimetry in abdominal CT for urinary stone detection: Effective radiation dose reduction with tin prefiltration at 100 kVp." *Investigative Radiology* 58(3):231-238, 2023.
- Hasegawa, Akira, et al. "A tin filter's dose reduction effect revisited: Using the detectability index in low-dose computed tomography for the chest." *Physica Medica* 99:61-67, 2022.
- Jeon, Ji Young, et al. "The effect of tube voltage combination on image artefact and radiation dose in dual-source dual-energy CT: Comparison between conventional 80/140 kV and 80/150 kV plus tin filter for gout protocol." *European Radiology* 29(3):1248-1257, 2019.
- Kimura, Koichiro, et al. "Dose reduction and diagnostic performance of tin filter-based spectral shaping CT in patients with colorectal cancer." *Tomography* 8(2):1079-1089, 2022.
- Kunz, Andreas Steven, et al. "Tin-filtered 100 kV ultra-low-dose abdominal CT for calculi detection in the urinary tract: A comparative study of 510 cases." *Academic Radiology*, 2022.
- Leyendecker, Pierre, et al. "Prospective evaluation of ultra-low-dose contrast-enhanced 100-kV abdominal computed tomography with tin filter: effect on radiation dose reduction and image quality with a third-generation dual-source CT system." *European Radiology* 29(4):2107-2116, 2019.
- Martini, Katharina, et al. "Evaluation of pulmonary nodules and infection on chest CT with radiation dose equivalent to chest radiography: Prospective intra-individual comparison study to standard dose CT." *European Journal of Radiology* 85(2):360-365, 2016.
- Rajendran, Kishore, et al. "Dose reduction for sinus and temporal bone imaging using photon-counting detector CT with an additional tin filter." *Investigative Radiology* 55(2):91-100, 2020.
- Saltybaeva, Natalia, et al. "Radiation dose reduction from computed tomography localizer radiographs using a tin spectral shaping filter." *Medical Physics* 46(2):544-549, 2019.
- Schabel, Christoph, et al. "Tin-filtered low-dose chest CT to quantify macroscopic calcification burden of the thoracic aorta." *European Radiology* 28:1818-1825, 2018.
- Schüle, Simone, et al. "Low-dose CT imaging of the pelvis in follow-up examinations-significant dose reduction and impact of tin filtration: Evaluation by phantom studies and first systematic retrospective patient analyses." *Investigative Radiology* 57(12):789-801, 2022.



Removable Prefilters in Use Today

- 0.4 mm Sn for Siemens' Somatom Flash, Drive, go.Now, go.Up and go.all
- 0.6 mm Sn for Siemens' Somatom Force, Edge Plus, go.Top and Definition Edge
- 0.4 mm and 0.7 mm Sn for Siemens' Somatom X.cite
- \approx 0.5 mm Au for Canon's Aquilion ONE Prism Edition
- ≈ 1 mm Cu for topograms only (!) in GE's Revolution Apex systems



LCS in Germany, as an Example



Bundesanzeiger

Herausgegeben vom Bundesministerium der Justiz und für Verbraucherschutz

www.bundesanzeiger.de

Bekanntmachung

Veröffentlicht am Montag, 6. Dezember 2021 BAnz AT 06.12.2021 B4 Seite 1 von 142

BfS = Federal Office for Radiation Protection (Germany)



Bundesamt für Strahlenschutz

Bericht

Lungenkrebsfrüherkennung mittels Niedrigdosis-Computertomographie

Wissenschaftliche Bewertung des Bundesamtes für Strahlenschutz gemäß § 84 Absatz 3 Strahlenschutzgesetz



Why Bother about Dose?

- Screening population is mainly healthy most participants do not have lung cancer.
- Lung cancer grows quickly. Screening needs to be repeated, e.g. annually.
- Participants thus undergo 20 to 30 screening CT scans in their life.
- Cumulative dose is relevant. Dose of a single screening scan must be very low.

Facts about annual effective dose

- D_{eff} due to natural radiation
 - 2.1 mSv in Germany
 - 3.2 mSv in Europe
 - 3.1 mSv in the US
- Occupational D_{eff} limit
 - 20 mSv in Europe
 - 50 mSv in the US

Technical Demands According to BfS

Parameter	Requirement	Comment		
Dose conversion	k = 0.019 mSv/mGy/cm	$D_{\rm eff} = k \cdot {\rm DLP}$		
Topogram CTDI	\leq 20% of screening CTDI	Use additional prefilter		
Scan length	Adapt to lung	Not longer than lung		
Scan time	≤ 15 s	Breath hold required		
Spiral pitch value	According to vendor	Moderate to high		
Rotation time	≤ 1 s			
Screening CTDI	\leq 1.3 mGy \approx 0.65 mSv	For BMI = 26 kg/m^2		
Additional prefilter ¹	Yes (bei Lungenscans)	At least for BMI \leq 40 kg/m ²		
TCM, auto kV-selection	Yes	TCM in α and z		
Dynamic collimation	Yes, if at least 64 detector rows	To avoid overbeaming		
Reconstruction	Iterative or deep learning			
Spatial resolution	between 0.8 and 1.0 mm	For low contrasts (50 HU)		
Slice thickness	\leq 0.7 mm			
Voxel size (isotropic)	\leq 70% of spatial resolution			
Image noise	Low enough to be diagnostic			
Exposure parameters and dose levels are to be be adapted to patient size!				

¹Prefilter that can be adjusted to patient size, e.g. removable for large patients.



Topogram (a.p. view)

Dose consideration:

- 10 cm/s table speed and 6×0.6 mm collimation imply 36 ms exposure per z-position.
- At 120 kV and 6×0.6 mm the Flash 32 cm CTDI is 11 mGy/100 mAs.
- With 35 mA tube current and 36 ms exposure we obtain 1.3 mAs and 0.14 mGy CTDI.
- Assume a scan length of 50 cm to get DLP = 7 mGy cm.
- With k = 0.014 mSv/mGy/cm (chest) we obtain an effective dose of 0.1 mSv.

Protocol type	Head	Adult body	Child body
Shaped filter	Standard	Standard	Narrow
Phantom size	Ø 16 cm	Ø 32 cm	Ø 32 cm
	CTDI _{vol}	CTDI _{vol}	CTDI _{vol}
	μGy/mA	μGy/mA	μGy/mA
70 kV	1.7	0.7	0.6
80 kV	2.6	1.2	0.9
100 kV	5.2	2.4	2.0
120 kV	8.3	4.0	3.3
140 kV	11.9	5.8	5.1





CK4

How did we arrive at these demands?

- Literature review showed good and bad examples (next slides).
 - Diagnostic image quality must be guaranteed!
 - Thus dose limit must not be too restrictive.
- Projecting the NLST trial to Germany and assuming 50% participation¹ yields about 1,300,000 additional CT scans per year.
 - Availability of sufficiently many CT systems must be guaranteed!
 - Thus technical demands must not be too restrictive.

Comments:

- Considering only high end CT systems, the demands could be much stricter (e.g. 0.2 mGy for the reference patient).
- Demands will be continuously adapted, e.g.
 - Lower dose values (significantly less than 1.3 mGy)
 - Patient-specific prefilters required (and not only recommended)
 - More patient-specific prefilters (e.g. more than one thickness selectable)
 - Breast-specific TCM required (and not only recommended)

European Journal of Radiology 91 (2017) 130-141



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

European Journal of Radiology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ejrad

CrossMark

Prospective intra-individual comparison of standard dose versus reduceddose thoracic CT using hybrid and pure iterative reconstruction in a followup cohort of pulmonary nodules—Effect of detectability of pulmonary nodules with lowering dose based on nodule size, type and body mass index

Varut Vardhanabhuti^{a,b,*}, Chun-Lap Pang^{a,c}, Sean Tenant^c, James Taylor^c, Christopher Hyde^d, Carl Roobottom^{a,c}

" Plymouth University Peninsula Schools of Medicine and Dentistry, John Bull Building, Plymouth, PL6 8BU, United Kingdom

^b Department of Diagnostic Radiology, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

e Department of Radiology, Derriford Hospital, Derriford Road, Plymouth, PL6 8DH, United Kingdom

^d University of Exeter Medical School, Exeter, United Kingdom

Radiation	doses	as	per	protocol	l.
-----------	-------	----	-----	----------	----

	CTDI Vol (mGy)	DLP (mGy-cm)	SSDE (mGy)	Effective Dose (mSv)
STD	6.6 ± 2.6	235.4 ± 90.1	$\begin{array}{rrrr} 7.4 \ \pm \ 2.5 \\ 2.1 \ \pm \ 1.5 \\ 0.3 \ \pm \ 0.1 \end{array}$	3.30 ± 1.26
RD1	2.0 ± 1.5	68.7 ± 51.4		0.96 ± 0.72
RD2	0.3 ± 0.01	9.9 ± 0.9		0.14 ± 0.01

CTDIvol-CT Dose Index Volume; DLP-Dose Length Product; SSDE-Size-specific dose estimates; mGy-milliGray; mSv-milliSievert. STD-Standard dose; RD1-Reduced dose 1; RD2-Reduced dose 2.

Patients scanned 3 times.

All examinations were performed with a 64-row detector CT scanner (Discovery 750 HD; GE Healthcare, Wisconsin, USA). No intravenous contrast was given. Standard dose scan parameters were as follows: tube voltage 120, rotation time 0.5 s, pitch 1.375:1, noise index 39.6, tube current range 10–750 mA. Reduced-dose 1 (RD1) scan parameters were as follows: tube voltage 100, rotation time 0.5 s, pitch 1.375:1, noise index 85, tube current range 10–750 mA. Reduced-dose 2 (RD2) scan parameters were as follows: tube voltage 100, rotation time 0.5 s, pitch 0.984:1, fixed tube current 10 mA.



dkfz.

Clinical Radiology 74 (2019) 409.e17-409.e22



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Clinical Radiology

journal homepage: www.clinicalradiologyonline.net

Lung nodules are reliably detectable on ultralow-dose CT utilising model-based iterative reconstruction with radiation equivalent to plain radiography

A.R. Miller ^{a,b,c,*}, D. Jackson ^d, C. Hui ^d, S. Deshpande ^a, E. Kuo ^a, G.S. Hamilton ^{a,b}, K.K. Lau ^{c,d}

^a Monash Lung and Sleep, Monash Health, Clayton, Victoria, Australia b Monash University, Clayton, Victoria, Australia

^c General Medicine, Monash Health, Clayton, Victoria, Australia ^d Monash Imaging, Monash Health, Clayton, Victoria, Australia

- GE, 100 kV < 80 kg, 120 kV > 80 kg
- ASIR for low dose, Veo for ultra low dose recons
- 0.13 mSv ultra low dose CT
- Nodules 4 mm or larger
- Ultra low dose images are very blurry.

Both the standard LD-CT and ULD-CT were performed at the same sitting. Each scan was performed with a single breath-hold on the CT750 HD scanner (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA). The following parameters were used for both the LD-CT and ULD-CT: 100 kVp (<80 kg) and 120 kVp (>80 kg), 40 mm collimation, 1.375 pitch, 0.4 second rotation speed. For the LD-CT, tube current was modulated to achieve target dose-length product (DLP) of 70 mGy.cm (<80 kg) or 105 mGy.cm(>80 kg), whereas 10 mA was used for ULD-CT.



Figure 1 Nodules were clearly seen on both LD- (a) and ULD-CT (b) images.

AIM: To determine if ultra-low-dose (ULD) computed tomography (CT) utilising modelbased iterative reconstruction (MBIR) with radiation equivalent to plain radiography allows the detection of lung nodules.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Ninety-nine individuals undergoing surveillance of solid pulmonary nodules undertook a low-dose (LD) and ULD CT during the same sitting. Image pairs were read blinded, in random order, and independently by two experienced thoracic radiologists. With LD-CT as the reference standard, the number, size, and location of nodules was compared, and inter-rater agreement was established.

RESULTS: There was very good inter-rater agreement with regards nodules >4mm for both the LD- (k=0.931) and ULD-CT (k=0.869). One hundred and ninety-nine nodules were reported on the LD-CT by both radiologists and 196 reported on the ULD-CT, with no nodules reported only on the ULD-CT. This gives a sensitivity of 98.5% and specificity of 100% for ULD-CT with MBIR. The effective dose of radiation was significantly different between the two scans (p<0.0001), 1.67 mSv for the LD-CT and 0.13 mSv for the ULD-CT.

CONCLUSION: ULD-CT utilising MBIR and delivering radiation equivalent to plain radiography, allows detection of lung nodules with high sensitivity. The attendant 10-fold reduction in radiation may allow for dramatic reductions in cumulative radiation exposure.

© 2019 The Royal College of Radiologists. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Lung cancer screening with ultra-low dose CT using full iterative reconstruction

Masayo Fujita¹ · Toru Higaki¹ · Yoshikazu Awaya² · Toshio Nakanishi² · Yuko Nakamura¹ · Fuminari Tatsugami¹ · Yasutaka Baba¹ · Makoto Iida¹ · Kazuo Awai¹

	LDCT	ULDCT
CTDIvol (mGy)	3.01 (0.09)	0.30 (0.00)
DLP (mGy cm)	105.32 (8.51)	10.50 (0.78)
ED (mSv)	1.48 (0.12)	0.14 (0.01)
SSDE (mGy)	4.01 (0.28)	0.40 (0.03)

Data are the mean [standard deviation (SD)]

LDCT low-dose CT, ULDCT ultra-low-dose CT, CTDI_{vol} volume CT dose index, DLP dose-length product, ED effective dose, SSDE size-specific dose estimate

- Acquilion One (320 slice) 120 kV
- 2 mm slice thickness and 2 mm increment
- FBP and FIRST (Forward projected model-based Iterative Reconstruction SoluTion)
- Patients scanned twice (Std+ULD)



Fig. 5 63-year-old man with a 7-mm solid nodule in the right upper lobe detected by lung cancer screening. a Low-dose CT (LDCT) image reconstructed with filtered back projection (FBP). The *arrow* points to the nodule. b Ultra-low-dose CT (ULDCT) image reconstructed with FBP. While identification of the pulmonary nodule is easy, characterization of its nodule density and margin is difficult. c Ultra-low-dose CT (ULDCT) image reconstructed with full iterative reconstruction (IR). Although the spatial resolution is inferior to the LDCT image, identification and characterization of the nodule is easy

European Journal of Radiology 84 (2015) 1608-1613



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

European Journal of Radiology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ejrad

Unenhanced third-generation dual-source chest CT using a tin filter for spectral shaping at 100 kVp

Holger Haubenreisser^{a,*}, Mathias Meyer^a, Sonja Sudarski^a, Thomas Allmendinger^b, Stefan O. Schoenberg^a, Thomas Henzler^a

² institute of Clinical Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, University Medical Center Mannheim, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Germany ^b Stemens Healthcare Sector, CT Division, Forchheim, Germany



CrossMark





00 kVp with spectral shaping. (A and B) Lung nodules, (C) atypical pneumonia, (D) pneumocystis pneumonia



(A) 100 kVp without spectral shaping (CTDI_{vol} 3.8 mGy; DLP 137 mGy cm). (B) 100 kVp with spectral shaping (CTDI_{vol} 0.32 mGy; DLP 11 mGy cm).

All images were reconstructed with a slice thickness of 1.5 mm in the axial and coronal planes using a corresponding lung kernel (3rd generation DSCT: BI57; 2nd generation DSCT: I70f), with the 3rd generation DSCT utilizing a novel iterative reconstruction technique (Adaptive Model-based Iterative Reconstruction (ADMIRE), Siemens Healthcare, Forchheim, Germany). This algorithm was described in detail in a recent study [9]. The 2nd generation DSCT utilized a previously described iterative reconstruction algorithm (Sinogram Affirmed Iterative Reconstruction (SAFIRE), Siemens Healthcare, Forchheim, Germany). The iterative reconstruction algorithm was set at a level of 3 for all reconstructions. The iteration level of 3 was chosen since the retrospective studies from the 2nd generation DSCT were all performed with a strength level of 3. That strength level resulted in the best image quality based on our experience and was clinically performed in all retrospectively included studies on the 2nd generation DSCT. Further, initial results in a phantom study showed that iterative levels of 3 and 5 yield diagnostically acceptable results [9]. The images were then exported to an offline workstation (Aycan Osirix Pro 2, Aycan, Würzburg, Germany) for all data analysis.

Dosimetric parameters for both protocols.

	Reference mAs	Effective mAs	CTDI (mGy)	DLP (mGy cm)	Equiv. dose (mSv)
Group 100 kV Sn Group 100 kV	96 96	$\begin{array}{c} 167.5\pm108.0\\ 79\pm7.0\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.49 \pm 0.18 \\ 4.9 \pm 1.9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 17.7 \pm 6.8 \\ 166.9 \pm 66.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.32 \pm 0.12 \\ 3.0 \pm 1.2 \end{array}$



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

European Journal of Radiology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ejrad

Computer-aided detection (CAD) of solid pulmonary nodules in chest x-ray equivalent ultralow dose chest CT - first in-vivo results at dose levels of 0.13 mSv

Michael Messerli^{a,*}, Thomas Kluckert^a, Meinhard Knitel^a, Fabian Rengier^b, René Warschkow^c, Hatem Alkadhi^d, Sebastian Leschka^{a,d}, Simon Wildermuth^a, Ralf W. Bauer^a

^a Division of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Cantonal Hospital St. Gallen, Switzerland ^b Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, University Hospital Heidelberg, Germany ^c Department of Surgery, Cantonal Hospital St. Gallen, Switzerland ^d Institute of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology. University Hospital Zurich, University Zurich, Switzerland

Objectives: To determine the value of computer-aided detection (CAD) for solid pulmonary nodules in ultralow radiation dose single-energy computed tomography (CT) of the chest using third-generation dual-source CT at 100 kV and fixed tube current at 70 mAs with tin filtration.

Methods: 202 consecutive patients undergoing clinically indicated standard dose chest CT $(1.8 \pm 0.7 \text{ mSv})$ were prospectively included and scanned with an additional ultralow dose CT $(0.13 \pm 0.01 \text{ mSv})$ in the same session. Standard of reference (SOR) was established by consensus reading of standard dose CT by two radiologists. CAD was performed in standard dose and ultralow dose CT with two different reconstruction kernels. CAD detection rate of nodules was evaluated including subgroups of different nodule sizes (<5, 5–7, >7 mm). Sensitivity was further analysed in multivariable mixed effects logistic regression. Results: The SOR included 279 solid nodules (mean diameter 4.3 ± 3.4 mm, range 1-24 mm). There was no significant difference in per–nodule sensitivity of CAD in standard dose with 70% compared to 68% in ultralow dose CT both overall and in different size subgroups (all p > 0.05). CAD led to a significant increase of sensitivity for both radiologists reading the ultralow dose CT scans (all p < 0.001). In multivariable analysis, the use of CAD (p < 0.001), and nodule size (p < 0.0001) were independent predictors for nodule detection, but not BMI (p = 0.933) and the use of contrast agents (p = 0.176).

Conclusions: Computer-aided detection of solid pulmonary nodules using ultralow dose CT with chest X-ray equivalent radiation dose has similar sensitivities to those from standard dose CT. Adding CAD in ultralow dose CT significantly improves the sensitivity of radiologists.

Somatom Force ADMIRE 3 2 mm slice thickness 1.6 mm increment Edge enhancing kernel (Br64) Patients scanned twice (Std+ULD) "ULD scans were performed at a fixed tube potential of 100 kV Sn with a fixed tube current time product of 70 mAs" Why fixed???



Fig. 4. Representative transverse CT sections of the lung in a 33-year-old man with a body mass index of 43.6 kg/m² scanned with standard dose (A) (effective dose 3.54 mSv) and ultralow dose (B) (effective dose 0.13 mSv) reconstructed with soft kernel. A 4 mm solid pulmonary nodule in the left upper lobe was marked by computer-aided detection (CAD) software in both protocols (i.e. true positive inding).



Fig. 5. Representative transverse CT sections of the lung in a 73-year-old woman with a body mass index of 23.0 kg/m² scanned with standard dose (A) (effective dose 1.68 mSv) and ultralow dose (B) (effective dose 0.13 mSv). A 11 mm solid pulmonary nodule (arrow) in the left lower lobe adjacent to pulmonary vessels and the descending aorta was not detected by computer-aided detection (CAD) software in both protocols (i.e. false negative finding). Note: The lesion was detected in ultralow dose CT by one of the two radiologists.

Standard dose and ultralow dose CT images were reconstructed with advanced modelled IR (ADMIRE) [15] at a strength level of 3 with a slice thickness of 2 mm, increment of 1.6 mm and using both an edge-enhancing convolution kernel (Br64: hereafter "lung kernel") and a smooth tissue convolution kernel (Br40: hereafter "soft kernel"). The reconstructed field-of-view (FoV) was 400×400 mm² and the image matrix was 512×512 pixels.

CT image analysis was performed on a high-definition liquid crystal display monitor (BARCO; Medical Imaging Systems, Kortrijk, Belgium) using the picture archiving and communication system (ImpaxEE, VersionR20XVSU2; Agfa Healthcare N.V., Mortsel, Belgium) of our department.



CHEST

Ultralow dose CT for pulmonary nodule detection with chest x-ray equivalent dose – a prospective intra-individual comparative study

Michael Messerli^{1,2} • Thomas Kluckert² • Meinhard Knitel² • Stephan Wälti² Lotus Desbiolles² • Fabian Rengier⁴ • René Warschkow⁵ • Ralf W. Bauer² • Hatem Alkadhi⁶ • Sebastian Leschka^{2,6} • Simon Wildermuth²

Somatom Force 100 kV Sn AEC/TCM off for low dose protocol ADMIRE 3 2 mm slice thickness 1.6 mm increment Edge enhancing kernel (Br64) Patients scanned twice (Std+ULD)

Results 425 nodules (mean diameter 3.7 ± 2.9 mm) were found on SOR. Overall sensitivity for nodule detection by ultralow dose CT was 91%. In multivariate analysis, nodule type, size and patients BMI were independent predictors for sensitivity (p < 0.001).

Conclusions Ultralow dose chest CT at 100 kV with spectral shaping enables a high sensitivity for the detection of pulmonary nodules at exposure levels comparable to plain film chest X-ray.

Keypoints

- 91% of all lung nodules were detected with ultralow dose CT
- Sensitivity for subsolid nodule detection is lower in ultralow dose CT (77.5%)
- The mean effective radiation dose in 202 patients was 0.13 mSv
- Ultralow dose CT seems to be feasible for lung cancer screening



В MI = 24

0.14 mSv

0.13 mSv

D

BMI = 25 kg/m





1.1 mSv

Fig. 6 Representative transverse CT sections of the lung in a 75-year-old woman with a body mass index of 24.4 kg/m2 scanned with standard dose (A) at 100 kVp and 54 mAs (effective dose, 1.09 mSv; size-specific dose estimate, 3.13 mGy) and ultralow dose (B) at 100 kVp and 70 mAs (effective dose, 0.12 mSv; size-specific dose estimate, 0.34 mGy). The subsolid pulmonary nodule in the left lower lobe (arrow) was not detected by either of the reader in ultralow dose CT (i.e., false negative finding). Representative transverse CT sections of the lung in a 75-year-old woman with a body mass index of 42.8 kg/m2 scanned with standard dose (C) at 110 kVp and 142 mAs (effective dose, 4.13 mSv; size-specific dose estimate, 6.86 mGy) and ultralow dose (D) at 100 kVp and 70 mAs (effective dose, 0.13 mSv; size-specific dose estimate, 0.22 mGy). Note the markedly increased image noise in the ultralow dose CT scan. In spite of the image noise the solid pulmonary nodule in the left lower lobe was detected in ultralow dose CT by both readers (i.e., true positive finding)

1.3 mSv

1.1 mSv

С

Fig. 5 Representative transverse CT sections of the lung in a 33-year-old woman with a body mass index of 23.6 kg/m2 scanned with standard dose (A) at 110 kVp and 38 mAs (effective dose, 1.1 mSv; size-specific dose estimate, 3.09 mGy) and ultralow dose (B) at 100 kVp and 70 mAs (effective dose, 0.14 mSv; size-specific dose estimate, 0.37 mGy). The solid pulmonary nodule in the right lower lobe was detected in ultralow dose CT by both readers (i.e., true positive finding). Representative transverse CT sections of the lung in a 79-year-old man with a body mass index of 24.9 kg/m2 scanned with standard dose (C) at 100 kVp and 62 mAs (effective dose, 1.33 mSv; size-specific dose estimate, 3.1 mGy) and ultralow dose (D) at 100 kVp and 70 mAs (effective dose, 0.13 mSv; size-specific dose estimate, 0.3 mGy). The subsolid pulmonary nodule in the right upper lobe was detected in ultralow dose CT by both readers (i.e. true positive finding).

ALARA Requires Optimization!

- Use tube current modulation (TCM)
- Adjust kV to patient size and application
 - kV as low as possible if iodine contrast is involved
 - kV as suggested by the scanner
- Use patient-specific prefilters, if available
- Reconstruction kernels not sharper and slice thickness not thinner than necessary
- Use iterative or deep learning reconstruction
- Minimize scan length
- Optimize the topogram as well
- Do not exaggerate!
- Image quality must be maintained!



Thank You!



Conference Chair Marc Kachelrieß, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany

This presentation will soon be available at www.dkfz.de/ct.

Job opportunities through DKFZ's international PhD programs or through marc.kachelriess@dkfz.de. Parts of the reconstruction software were provided by RayConStruct[®] GmbH, Nürnberg, Germany.