Threshold-Dependent Dual Energy Performance and Spectral Separation in Whole Body Photon-Counting CT

Stefan Sawall^{1,2}, Laura Klein^{1,2}, Carlo Amato^{1,2}, Katharina Roth^{3,4}, Eckhard Wehrse^{1,2}, Joscha Maier^{1,2}, Heinz-Peter Schlemmer^{1,2}, Christian H. Ziener^{1,2}, Sarah Heinze⁵, and Marc Kachelrieß^{1,2}

¹German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany
 ²Ruprecht-Karls-University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
 ³Siemens Healthineers, Forchheim, Germany
 ⁴Duale Hochschule Baden-Württemberg, Mannheim, Germany
 ⁵University Hospital Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany





Evaluate the threshold-dependent spectral separation and its consequences on the quality of material images in a whole-body photon-counting (PC) CT compared to a conventional, energy-integrating (EI) dual-source dual energy (DSDE) CT.



SOMATOM CounT CT @ DKFZ

Gantry from a clinical dual source scanner

A: conventional CT detector
(50 cm FOV)
B: Photon counting detector
(27.5 cm FOV)



Prototype, not commercially available.



Dual Source vs. Photon-Counting





Material Mixtures

- Two water-iodine mixtures $CT_1(E) = (1 - w_1)CT_W(E)$ $CT_2(E) = (1 - w_2)CT_W(E)$
- Their relative contrast is in ratio

 $\frac{CT_1(E_{100 \text{ kV}}) - CT_2(E_1)}{CT_1(E_{150 \text{ kV}}) - CT_2(E_1)}$



 $\frac{CT_{\rm I}(60~{\rm keV})}{CT_{\rm I}(80~{\rm keV})} = \frac{\mu_{\rm I}(60~{\rm keV})/\mu_{\rm W}(60~{\rm keV}) - 1}{\mu_{\rm I}(80~{\rm keV})/\mu_{\rm W}(80~{\rm keV}) - 1} = 1.936$ In monochromatic scans or in rawdata-based preprocessed DECT data!

Material Decomposition

- Assume CT images with air = 0 and water = 1
- Mix image: $f_{\alpha} = (1 \alpha)f_{\rm L} + \alpha f_{\rm H}$ α to minimize noise
- Water image: $f_{\rm W} = (1 \beta)f_{\rm L} + \beta f_{\rm H}$ $\beta = \frac{{
 m RelCM}}{{
 m RelCM} 1} > 1$
- lodine overlay: $f_{\rm I} = \gamma \left(f_{\rm L} f_{\rm H} \right)$
- Noise in the water image is:

 $\operatorname{Var} f_{\mathrm{W}} = (1 - \beta)^{2} \operatorname{Var} f_{\mathrm{L}} + \beta^{2} \operatorname{Var} f_{\mathrm{H}}$

The dependency on ReICM is:

$$\frac{\partial \text{Var} f_{\text{W}}}{\partial \text{RelCM}} = -2 \frac{\text{Var} f_L + \text{RelCM} \cdot \text{Var} f_H}{(\text{RelCM} - 1)^3} < 0$$

 The higher ReICM the lower the noise in the resulting images if Var f_L and Var f_H would be constant.



 γ such that $f_{\rm W} + f_{\rm I} = f_{\alpha}$

Materials & Methods Phantoms

- Anthropomorphic thorax and liver phantom
- Three different phantom sizes
 - Small (200 × 300 mm)
 - Medium (250 × 350 mm)
 - Large (300 × 400 mm)
- Equipped with iodine inserts.









Materials & Methods Image Acquisition and Reconstruction

Images are acquired at different tube voltages:

- 80 kV at 4.40 mGy (CTDI_{vol 32 cm}) using 200 mAs_{eff}
- 100 kV at 9.20 mGy (CTDI $_{\rm vol~32~cm}$) using 200 mAs $_{\rm eff}$
- 120 kV at 15.03 mGy (CTDI $_{vol\;32\;cm}$) using 200 mAs $_{eff}$
- 140 kV at 21.76 mGy (CTDI_{vol 32 cm}) using 200 mAs_{eff}
- Pitch in all acquisitions was 0.6.
- Collimation for El (32×0.6 mm) and PC (32×0.5 mm) was matched as close as possible, i.e. geometric efficiency is 80% vs. 82%.
- The threshold is varied between 50 keV and 90 keV in steps of 2 keV.
- Reference measurements were performed using the SOMATOM Flash.



ReICM as Function of Threshold 140 kV, All Phantom Sizes



Best ReICM Min-Max Over All Phantom Sizes



Iodine Map CNRD Small Phantom



Iodine Map CNRD 140 kV, Small Phantom





C/W=7.5 mg/mL / 15.0 mg/mL

Summary & Conclusion

- ReICM does not significantly change with phantom size, similar to conventional CT*.
- ReICM in the photon-counting CT is similar to a conventional dual-source CT.
- However, it only requires a single source and a single detector and theoretically allows for rawdatabased dual-energy processing.
- The threshold settings introduce an additional degree of freedom.
- The thresholds should be chosen according to tube voltage and to patient size, e.g. given an acquired topogram.



Thank You!

The 6th International Conference on Image Formation in X-Ray Computed Tomography

August 3 - August 7 • 2020 • Regensburg (virtual only) • Germany • www.ct-meeting.org



Conference Chair: Marc Kachelrieß, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany

This presentation will soon be available at www.dkfz.de/ct. Job opportunities through DKFZ's international Fellowship programs (marc.kachelriess@dkfz.de) Parts of the reconstruction software were provided by RayConStruct[®] GmbH, Nürnberg, Germany.