3D Reconstruction of Stents and Guidewires in an Anthropomorphic Phantom From Three X-Ray Projections

Tim Vöth^{a,b}, Thomas König^b, Elias Eulig^a, Michael Knaup^a, Veit Wiesmann^b, Klaus Hörndler^b, and Marc Kachelrieß^a

^aGerman Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany ^bZiehm Imaging GmbH, Nürnberg, Germany





Interventional Radiology

- Minimally invasive diagnosis or treatment, e.g. tumor embolization, treatment of aneursyms or stenoses, ...
- Tools, e.g. guidewires, catheters, stents, get introduced into the patient through small incisions
- Intervention is performed percutaneously
- Requires image guidance





2D vs. 3D Fluoroscopy

X-Ray-Based 2D Fluoroscopy

- Commonly used today
- Sequence of 2D x-ray images is displayed
- High spatial and temporal resolution
- No depth information



X-Ray-Based 3D Fluoroscopy [1]

- Sequence of 3D images, reconstructed from 2D x-ray images
- Full 3D information
- Only very few x-ray projections can be acquired per 3D reconstruction to limit dose





3D Fluoroscopy Pipeline

Topic of this talk

Compute a 3D reconstruction of the tools with high temporal resolution (~ 5 vps) using the latest few x-ray projections





Acquire x-ray projections continuously Slowly update a prior 3D reconstruction of the patient using the latest x-ray projections









Prior Work on Few-View Tool Reconstruction

Algorithms specializing in the reconstruction of curvilinear structures:

• Reconstruction of single guidewires or catheters from two x-ray projections [1-3], or from a single projection + prior 3D data set [4-5]

More general tool reconstruction algorithms:

- Reconstruction of guidewires and stents from about 16 x-ray projections [6-9]
- Reconstruction of guidewires, stents and coils from only four simultaneous x-ray projections [10]

This work builds on reference [10].

[1] Baert, Niessen et al., *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging*, Vol. 22, No. 10, pp. 1252–1258, 2003.
 [2] Hoffmann, Strobel et al., *MICCAI*, pp. 584–591, 2012.
 [3] Wagner, Mistretta et al., *Med. Phys.*, Vol. 43, No. 3, pp. 1324–1334, 2016.
 [4] Brückner, Denzler et al., *MICCAI*, pp. 386–393, 2009.
 [5] Walsum, Niessen et al., *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging*, Vol. 24, No. 5, pp. 612–623, 2005.
 [6] Kuntz, Kachelrieß, Bartling et al., *Phys. Med. Biol.*, Vol. 58, No. 10, pp. 3283–3300, 2013.
 [7] Kuntz, Kachelrieß, Bartling et al., *Eur. Radiol.*, Vol. 23, No. 6, pp. 1669–1677, 2013.
 [8] Flach, Kachelrieß et al., *Phys. Med. Biol.*, Vol. 59, No. 24, pp. 7865–7887, 2014.
 [9] Flach, Kachelrieß et al., *Med. Phys.*, Vol. 40, No. 10, p. 101909, 2013.
 [10] Eulig, Kachelrieß et al., *Med. Phys.*, Vol. 48, No. 10, pp. 5837–5850, 2021.



) ziehm imaging

Prior Work -Tool Reconstruction Pipeline¹



Acquire four x-rays simultaneously Apply the deep tool extraction (DTE) Backproject the DTE output images Apply the deep tool reconstruction (DTR)

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION of PHYSICISTS IN MEDICINE Congentulations

This paper received the Sylvia&Moses Greenfield Award for the best scientific paper in Medical Physics in 2021.



Tool Reconstruction Pipeline



Acquire four x-rays simultaneously Apply the deep tool extraction (DTE) Backproject the DTE output images Apply the deep tool reconstruction (DTR)

This work:

- Three instead of four simultaneous x-ray projections
- Improved DTR:
 - 3D U-Net instead of a 2.5D U-Net
 - per-projection backprojections (3-channel backprojection) as input





Deep Tool Extraction (DTE)



- Architecture: U-Net-like [1] CNN with 7 stages
- Generation of training & validation data:
 - Combination of CBCT x-ray projections of patients without tools and forward projections of simulated guidewires and stents.
- Dataset:
 - 12,000 forward projections of simulated guidewires and stents
 - 2751 clinical CBCT x-ray projections from nine 3D scans
- Loss: mean absolute error



Deep Tool Reconstruction (DTR)



- Architecture: 3D U-Net-like [1] CNN with 6 stages.
- Training & validation data: forward and backprojections of simulated guidewires and stents
- Dataset: 40,000 scenes, each containing one or two guidewires and one stent
- Loss: soft Dice loss with Laplacian smoothing
- Training was performed twice with different input:
 - 1-channel backprojection (all projections backprojected into the same volume)
 - 3-channel backprojection (each projection backprojected into a separate volume)



Real Time Capability



- Inference time on an NVIDIA RTX 3090: 380 ms
- 2.6 volumes per second (vps) already possible
- Many possibilities to increase vps: next generation GPU(s), 8-bit quantization, less feature channels, ...





Stop Motion Measurements

- Training on simulated data •
- **Results on stop motion measurements:** •
 - flat detector
 - For each time step t: 3D scan with fine angular sampling
 - Choose three projections from each 3D scan:
 - t = 1: 0°, 60°, 120°

19° rotation between time steps to t = 2: 19°, 79°, 139° \leftarrow collect projections suitable for update of background patient volume

- Objects: anthropomorphic trunk phantom + extension + tools placed between phantom and extension
- Motion: sinusoidal motion of phantom in superior-inferior direction (mimicking cardiac motion) + pulling of guidewire
- Three scenes, each 8 10 time steps long, were captured:
 - 1. Two guidewires
 - 2. One stent
 - 3. Guidewire inside stent
- 80 kV, 0.80 1.15 mAs per projection







Results - Scene 1



dk

One of the three x-ray projections

DTR Output



Results - Scene 2



One of the three x-ray projections

DTR Output



) ziehm imaging

Results - Scene 3



One of the three x-ray projections

DTR Output





Quantitative Evaluation

- Ground truth 3D reconstruction (GT) is threshold-segmented filtered backprojection
- Diameter of guidewires and struts in GT is uncertain, because it is very sensitive to the threshold
- Therefore, not the Sørensen-Dice coefficient, but a centerline-based metric is used
- Center lines of the ground truth C(GT) and the DTR output C(Y) computed by the 3D thinning algorithm of Lee et al. [1]
- Figure of merit: D
 _{C(GT),C(Y)}: average distance between the voxels of C(GT) and C(Y)

Scene	$\overline{D}_{C(\mathrm{GT}),C(\mathrm{Y})},$ 1-channel backproj.	$\overline{D}_{C(\mathrm{GT}),C(\mathrm{Y})},$ 3-channel backproj.	
1	$0.30\mathrm{mm}$	$0.26\mathrm{mm}$	
2	$0.33\mathrm{mm}$	$0.27\mathrm{mm}$	Tab. 1: figures of merit averaged over the time steps of each scene.
3	$0.33\mathrm{mm}$	$0.28\mathrm{mm}$	



[1] Lee, Chu et al., *CVGIP,* Vol. 56, No. 6, pp. 462–478, 1994.

ziehmimaging

Conclusions and Outlook

- Reconstruction of guidewires and stents from only three x-ray projections
- Submillimeter accuracy demonstrated on measured data of an anthropomorphic phantom
- Close to real-time (380 ms)





Thank You!

This presentation will soon be available at www.dkfz.de/ct.

Job opportunities through DKFZ's international PhD or Postdoctoral Fellowship programs (www.dkfz.de), or directly through Prof. Dr. Marc Kachelrieß (marc.kachelriess@dkfz.de). Parts of the reconstruction software were provided by RayConStruct[®] GmbH, Nürnberg, Germany.



