# Types of DECT Acquisitions: Pros and Cons

**Marc Kachelrieß** 

German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ) Heidelberg, Germany www.dkfz.de/ct





Gout



Rho/Z



Xenon\*



**Monoenergetic Plus** 



**Optimum Contrast** 



Monoenergetic



Heart PBV



Lung Nodules\*



Calculi Characterization



**Brain Hemorrhage** 



**Direct Angio** 



**Virtual Unenhanced** 



**Bone Marrow** 



Musculoskeletal\*



Lung Analysis



Syngo.CT DECT application examples. Virtual unenhanced contains liver VNC, lung analysis contains lung PBV. Courtesy of Siemens Healthineers, Forchheim, Germany

## Image-Based Decomposition (2 Materials)



 $\boldsymbol{\mu} = W_{\text{Water}} \bullet \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{Water}} + W_{\text{Bone}} \bullet \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{Bone}}$ 

W<sub>Bone</sub>, W<sub>Water</sub>: water and bone fractions



# Image-Based Decomposition (3 Materials – Requires Assumption)





#### • In the clinic:

- Multiple scans at different spectra
- Dual source CT (DSCT), generations 2, and 3
- Fast tube voltage switching
- Dual layer sandwich detectors
- Split filter
- Photon-counting CT

mid-range high-end high-end high-end mid-range high-end



### • DECT approaches in the clinic:

- Dual source DECT (Siemens)





### Effect of the Prefilter: Without Sn





### Effect of the Prefilter: With 0.4 mm Sn





### **Optimal Dose Distribution**

• A linear combination of a low and a high energy image yields

$$V_{\chi} = w_{\rm L}^2 V_{\rm L} + w_{\rm H}^2 V_{\rm H} = w_{\rm L}^2 \frac{k_{\rm L}}{D_{\rm L}} + w_{\rm H}^2 \frac{k_{\rm H}}{D_{\rm H}} = w_{\rm L}^2 \frac{k_{\rm L}}{(1-\alpha)D_{\rm T}} + w_{\rm H}^2 \frac{k_{\rm H}}{\alpha D_{\rm T}}$$

with *k* relating the variances *V* to doses *D*, with  $D_T = D_L + D_H$ , and with  $\alpha$  being the relative dose of the high energy image.

- For the Flash dual source 100 kV / Sn 140 kV we have
  - $w_{\rm L}$  = -0.943509 and  $w_{\rm H}$  = 1.943850 for  $\chi$  = VNC
  - $w_{\rm L} = 6.468680$  and  $w_{\rm H} = -6.466740$  for  $\chi =$ lodine
  - $k_{\rm L}$  = 1.087 and  $k_{\rm H}$  = 0.826 (up to an arbitrary factor)



	H <sub>2</sub> O	
Low	1	1+a
High	1	1+b
VNC	1	1
	H <sub>2</sub> O	
Low	<b>H</b> <sub>2</sub> <b>O</b> 1	<b>I</b> 1+а
Low High	H <sub>2</sub> O 1 1	<b>I</b> 1+a 1+b

Here, dose and  $\alpha$  refer to the energy absorbed in the patient, and not to mAs or CTDI.

- Dual source DECT (Siemens)
- Fast tube voltage switching (Canon, GE)



- Dual source DECT (Siemens)
- Fast tube voltage switching (Canon, GE)



- Dual source DECT (Siemens)
- Fast tube voltage switching (Canon, GE)



- Dual source DECT (Siemens)
- Fast tube voltage switching (Canon, GE)



### DECT approaches in the clinic:

- Dual source DECT (Siemens)
- Fast tube voltage switching (Canon, GE)
- Dual layer (sandwich) detector (Philips)







for the bottom layer.

0.05 mm Au 0.6 mm Sn Al

- Dual source DECT (Siemens)
- Fast tube voltage switching (Canon, GE)
- Dual layer (sandwich) detector (Philips)
- Split filter (Siemens)











- Dual source DECT (Siemens)
- Fast tube voltage switching (Canon, GE)
- Dual layer (sandwich) detector (Philips)
- Split filter (Siemens)
- Photon counting detector, multiple energy bins







# 80 kV / 140 kV





## 80 kV / 140 kV





# 80 kV / 140 kV Sinrect kV-Switching





# 80 kV / 140 kV Sn<sub>0.4 mm</sub>





# 100 kV / 140 kV Sn<sub>0.4 mm</sub>





# 90 kV / 150 kV Sn<sub>0.6 mm</sub>





# 140 kV YAG / GOS





# Split filter 120 kV (Au+Sn)





# **Decomposition Increases Noise**



![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### C = 0 HU, W = 700 HU

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

# **Denoising is Mandatory!**

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

VNC denoised

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_6.jpeg)

# **Simple Denoising Example**

- Assume CT images with air = 0 and water = 1
- Mix image:  $f_{lpha} = (1-lpha)f_{
  m L} + lpha f_{
  m H}$
- Water image:  $f_{\rm W} = (1 \beta)f_{\rm L} + \beta f_{\rm H}$   $\beta = \frac{{
  m RelCM}}{{
  m RelCM} 1} > 1$
- lodine overlay:  $f_{\rm I} = \gamma \left( f_{\rm L} f_{\rm H} \right)$

 $\alpha$  to minimize noise  $\beta = \frac{\text{RelCM}}{\text{RelCM}-1} > 1$  $\gamma$  such that  $f_{\text{W}} + f_{\text{I}} = f_{\alpha}$ 

Denoised images:

 $\hat{f}_{\rm I} = {\rm LP}(f_{\rm I}) + 0.5 \, {\rm HP}(f_{\alpha})$  $\hat{f}_{\rm W} = f_{\alpha} - \hat{f}_{\rm I}$ 

Low pass LP = (1 2 2 2 2 2 1) / 12, for example (pixel size dependent)
High pass HP = 1- LP

![](_page_26_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

C = 0 HU, W = 500 HU for the low, high and VNC images. C = 0 mg/mL, W = 27.6 mg/mL for the iodine images.

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

C = 0 HU, W = 500 HU for the low, high and VNC images. C = 0 mg/mL, W = 27.6 mg/mL for the iodine images.

dkfz.

### Why is Subtraction Potentially Better? (in case of no motion)

- W = soft tissue (water) signal, X = iodine signal •
- Assume same noise N, e.g. 50 HU, in both measurements  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ • - Var  $M_1$  = Var  $M_2$  =  $N^2$  regardless of whether iodine is present or not

 $4(M_2 - M_1)$ 

 $2 M_1 - M_2$ 

- DECT
  - Measurement 1 (high kV):  $M_1 = W + 0.25 X$
  - $M_2 = W + 0.5 X$ - Measurement 2 (low kV):
  - Estimated iodine:
  - Estimated soft tissue:

### Subtraction

- Measurement 1 (native):  $M_1 = W$
- Measurement 2 (enhanced):  $M_2 = W + 0.5 X$
- Estimated iodine:  $2(M_2 - M_1)$  $M_1$ 
  - Estimated soft tissue:

Variance = 4 (Var  $M_2$  + Var  $M_1$ ) = 8  $N^2$ Variance = Var  $M_1 = N^2$ 

Variance = 16 (Var  $M_2$  + Var  $M_1$ ) = 32  $N^2$ 

Variance = 4 Var  $M_1$  + Var  $M_2$  = 5  $N^2$ 

#### VNC and iodine noise (standard deviation) in DECT is about twice as high as in subtraction imaging.

This simple example assumes iodine to contribute half as much to the gray value for the high kV scan as for the low kV scan. Dose is assumed to be the same in both scenarios.

![](_page_29_Picture_16.jpeg)

# Which Hardware Technology is Best?

dkfz.

# **Algorithm Concept**

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Bin images f

 $W_1 \times$ 

 $W_2 \times$ 

 $W_3 \times$ 

 $W_4 \times$ 

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

- Material decomposition calibration
- Image noise minimization using the
   K = B M degrees of freedom

Faby and Kachelrieß, MedPhys 42(7):4349-4366, July 2015.

# **Material Decomposition Calibration**

- Example for *M* = 2: water and iodine
- *N* = 2 calibration measurements using ROIs
- Determine weighting coefficients w
  - M×B coefficients, but M×N equations

![](_page_32_Figure_5.jpeg)

Iodine calibration (maps iodine ROI values to target values):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{W-Image} \\ \text{I-Image} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w_{\text{W},1} \dots w_{\text{W},B} \\ w_{\text{I},1} \dots w_{\text{I},B} \end{pmatrix}$$

• This is the case studied in the following simulations

![](_page_32_Picture_9.jpeg)

Water (and iodine shown as water)

![](_page_32_Picture_11.jpeg)

lodine only

![](_page_32_Picture_13.jpeg)

Faby and Kachelrieß, MedPhys 42(7):4349-4366, July 2015.

# **Results – Different DSCT Generations**

2<sup>nd</sup> generation DSCT

3<sup>rd</sup> generation DSCT

DS 100 kV / Sn 140 kV DS 80 kV / Sn 140 kV DS 90 kV / Sn 150 kV DS 80 kV / Sn 150 kV

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

Faby and Kachelrieß, MedPhys 42(7):4349-4366, July 2015.

Water: C = 0 HU / W = 400 HU lodine: C = 0 mg/mL / W = 6 mg/mL

![](_page_33_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **Results – Different DECT Techniques**

TVS 80 kV / 140 kV

DS 100 kV / Sn 140 kV

VNC

odine

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

Faby and Kachelrieß, MedPhys 42(7):4349-4366, July 2015.

Water: C = 0 HU / W = 400 HUlodine: C = 0 mg/mL / W = 6 mg/mL

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **Results – Different DECT Techniques**

DS 100 kV / Sn 140 kV

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

odine

VNC

+2% noise +50% noise -4% noise reference

Faby and Kachelrieß, MedPhys 42(7):4349-4366, July 2015.

Water: C = 0 HU / W = 400 HUlodine: C = 0 mg/mL / W = 6 mg/mL

![](_page_35_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **Results – Subtraction Technique**

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

Faby and Kachelrieß, MedPhys 42(7):4349-4366, July 2015.

Water: C = 0 HU / W = 400 HU lodine: C = 0 mg/mL / W = 6 mg/mL

![](_page_36_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

- DSCT (with prefilter)
  - Best spectral separation and thus best CNRD
  - Potentially higher costs

### Fast tube voltage switching

- Rather low cost
- Low spectral separation

### Sandwich detector

- Complicated detector
- Always on demand
- Very low spectral separation

### PCCT

- New, and thus expensive, detector technology
- Good spectral separation
- Always on demand

![](_page_37_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

Job opportunities through DKFZ's international Fellowship programs (marc.kachelriess@dkfz.de). Parts of the reconstruction software were provided by RayConStruct<sup>®</sup> GmbH, Nürnberg, Germany.