# Joint Emission-Based Patient and Hardware Attenuation Correction for non-TOF PET/MR Imaging

Thorsten Heußer, Christopher M. Rank, Yannick Berker, Martin Freitag, and <u>Marc Kachelrieß</u>

> German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ) Heidelberg, Germany



# Outline

- MR-MLAA
  - Emission-based patient AC for PET/MR
- xMLAA
  - Emission-based hardware AC for PET/MR
- xMR-MLAA
  - Combination of MR-MLAA and xMLAA



## Siemens Biograph mMR<sup>1</sup> MR-Component

- Field strength: 3T
- Bore size: 60 cm
- Transversal FOV: 50 cm (max.)
- Magnet length: 163 cm
- Gradient coil system
  - Length: 159 cm
  - Amplitude: 45 mT/m
  - Slew rate: 200 T/m/s





### Siemens Biograph mMR<sup>1</sup> PET-Component

- Scintillator material: LSO
- Photon detectors: APD
- Timing resolution: ≈ 3.0 ns
- TOF-capability: no
- Crystal size: 4 × 4 × 20 mm<sup>3</sup>
- Ring radius: 65.6 cm
- Transversal FOV: 59.4 cm
- Axial FOV: 25.8 cm (127 planes at 2.03 mm)





#### MR-MLAA Introduction

#### **Dixon VIBE MR images**

MRAC







#### Motivation

- Standard MR-based attenuation correction (AC) neglects bone and hardware attenuation and thus underestimates the activity distribution.
- Aim
  - To improve patient AC for non-TOF PET/MR.
- Proposed algorithm
  - Extension of the maximum-likelihood reconstruction of attenuation and activity (MLAA)<sup>1</sup> for non-TOF PET/MR, called MR-MLAA.

# MR-MLAA<sup>1</sup> Algorithm

#### Joint estimation of attenuation and activity

- Using PET emission data
- Incorporating MR-based prior information
- Iterative approach
  - Update attenuation and activity in an alternating manner
- Objective function

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = L(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) + L_{\mathrm{S}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) + L_{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{\mu})$$
  
Log-likelihood Prior terms

 $\lambda = activity$  $\mu = attenuation$ 

- Intensity prior L
  - Voxel-dependent Gaussian-like probability distribution of predefined attenuation coefficients, e.g., for soft tissue, air, bone
  - Derived from diagnostic T1-weighted MR images



# MR-MLAA Intensity Prior L<sub>1</sub>



 $L_{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \omega(\boldsymbol{r})\beta_{\scriptscriptstyle\mathrm{ST}}L_{\scriptscriptstyle\mathrm{ST}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) + (1-\omega(\boldsymbol{r}))\beta_{\scriptscriptstyle\mathrm{AB}}L_{\scriptscriptstyle\mathrm{AB}}(\boldsymbol{\mu})$ 

We use  $\beta_{ST} = 0.1$  and  $\beta_{AB} = 0.6$  throughout this presentation.



# MR-MLAA Results: Patient 1



## MR-MLAA Results: Patient 2



#### xMLAA Introduction

- Flexible hardware components are currently neglected in MR-based AC
  - MR-safe headphones
  - Radiofrequency torso surface coils
  - Positioning aids
  - ...

#### • Aim

 Estimate attenuation of flexible hardware components from the PET emission data





#### xMLAA<sup>1</sup> Algorithm

**Emission data** 

Iteration

Attenuation

- Joint estimation of attenuation and activity
  - Based on the MLAA algorithm
- Attenuation map only updated within hardware mask
  - "External" MLAA or xMLAA

Hardware Mask

 Patient attenuation distribution and stationary hardware components are not modified

Initial attenuation

[1] T. Heußer, C.M. Rank, Y. Berker, M.T. Freitag, and M. Kachelrieß, "MLAA-based Attenuation Correction of Flexible Hardware Components in Hybrid PET/MR Imaging," *EJNMMI Physics* 4:12 (2017).



Activity

# **Results Headphones**



dkfz.

#### xMLAA Results Torso Coil





# **Attenuation Correction Factors**





#### xMR-MLAA Introduction

#### Both MR-MLAA and xMLAA

- are based on the MLAA algorithm
- exploit the fact that the PET emission data contain information about both activity and attenuation
- have been treated separately in our previous studies
- Aim: Jointly estimate patient and hardware attenuation by combining MR-MLAA and xMLAA to xMR-MLAA



# xmr-mlaa Workflow





#### xMR-MLAA Objective Function

Objective function Q

 $Q(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = L(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) + L_{\mathrm{S}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) + L_{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{\mu})$ 

• Log-likelihood *L*  $L(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \sum_{j} (p_j \ln \hat{p}_j - \hat{p}_j)$ 

> with  $\hat{p}_j = rac{a_j}{n_j} \sum_i M_{ij} \lambda_i + rac{s_j}{n_j} + r_j$ and  $a_j = e^{-\sum_i \mu_i l_{ij}}$

- Smoothing prior L<sub>S</sub>
- Intensity prior L<sub>1</sub>

- $\lambda$  Activity
- $\mu$  Attenuation
- i Voxel index
- j LOR index
- $p_j$  Measured projections
- $\hat{p}_i$  Estimated projections
- $a_i$  Attenuation factor
- $n_i$  Normalization factor
- $s_j$  Scatter
- $r_j$  Randoms
- $M_{ij}$  System matrix element
  - $l_{ij}$  Intersection length



### xMR-MLAA Update Equations

Activity update (MLEM)<sup>1,2</sup>

$$\lambda_i^{(n+1)} = \lambda_i^{(n)} \frac{1}{\sum_j M_{ij} a_j^{(n)} / n_j} \sum_j M_{ij} \frac{p_j}{\sum_k M_{kj} \lambda_k^{(n)} + (s_j + r_j n_j) / a_j^{(n)}}$$

• Attenuation update (MLTR)<sup>3</sup>  $u^{(n+1)} - u^{(n)}$ 

$$+ \alpha \frac{\sum_{j} \left( l_{ij} (\hat{p}_{j}^{(n)} - p_{j}) \frac{\hat{p}_{j}^{(n)} - s_{j}/n_{j} - r_{j}}{\hat{p}_{j}^{(n)}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_{i}} (L_{\rm S} + L_{\rm I})}{\sum_{j} \left( l_{ij} (\hat{p}_{j}^{(n)} - \frac{s_{j}}{n_{j}} - r_{j}) \left( 1 - \frac{p_{j} (s_{j}/n_{j} + r_{j})}{\hat{p}_{j}^{2(n)}} \right) \sum_{k} l_{kj} \right) - \sum_{k} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \mu_{i} \partial \mu_{k}} (L_{\rm S} + L_{\rm I})}{\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \mu_{i} \partial \mu_{k}}}$$

i Voxel index  $\lambda$  Activity nj LOR index  $\mu$  Attenuation lpha

Iteration number

**Relaxation parameter** 

[1] Shepp and Vardi. "Maximum likelihood reconstruction for emission tomography," *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging*, 1(2), 113-22, 1982..
[2] Lange and Carson. "EM reconstruction algorithms for emission and transmission tomography," *J. Comput. Assist. Tomogr.*, 8(2), 306-16, 1984.
[3] Nuyts *et al.*, "Iterative reconstruction for helical CT: a simulation study," *Phys. Med. Biol.*, 43(4), 729–37, 1998.

## xMR-MLAA Algorithm

- Hardware and patient attenuation are updated sequentially
- Hardware update
  - xMLAA
  - 2 iterations, 21 subsets
- Patient update
  - MR-MLAA
  - 3 Iterations, 21 subsets
- Intensity prior



Hardware Soft Tissue Air/Bone

 $L_{\mathrm{I}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \omega_{\mathrm{x}}(\boldsymbol{r})\beta_{\mathrm{x}}L_{\mathrm{x}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) + (1 - \omega_{\mathrm{x}}(\boldsymbol{r}))L_{\mathrm{MR}}(\boldsymbol{\mu})$  $L_{\mathrm{MR}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \omega(\boldsymbol{r})\beta_{\mathrm{ST}}L_{\mathrm{ST}}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) + (1 - \omega(\boldsymbol{r}))\beta_{\mathrm{AB}}L_{\mathrm{AB}}(\boldsymbol{\mu})$ 



#### xMR-MLAA Data Processing





#### xMR-MLAA Parameters

- PET system
  - Siemens Biograph mMR
- Detector
  - # of rings: 64
  - # of elements per ring: 448
- LORs
  - # of LORs per view: 344
  - # of views: 252
  - # of planes: 837 (span 11)

- Volume dimensions - 344 × 344 × 127
- Voxel size
  2.09 × 2.09 × 2.03 mm<sup>3</sup>
- Same parameters used for attenuation and activity distribution



#### xMR-MLAA Simulation Study

#### Phantom

- Head phantom with skull bone and air cavity (frontal sinus)
- Two headphone-like objects to each side of the phantom

#### PET simulation

- Siemens Biograph mMR geometry
- Simulating Poisson noise (54×10<sup>6</sup> counts)
- Considering attenuation
- No scatter or randoms simulated





## xMR-MLAA: Simulation Study Without Hardware



#### xMR-MLAA: Simulation Study Without Hardware

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

## xMR-MLAA: Simulation Study With Hardware

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

## xMR-MLAA: Simulation Study Neglecting Hardware in MR-MLAA

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### xMR-MLAA Patient Data

- Clinical non-TOF <sup>18</sup>F-FDG-PET/MR data of the head region acquired with a Siemens Biograph mMR
- Attenuation correction
  - MRAC: standard MR-based AC
  - xMR-MLAA: proposed method
  - CTAC: CT-derived AC
- Perform OSEM reconstructions using
  - 3 iterations
  - 21 subsets
  - Gaussian post-smoothing ( $\sigma$  = 2.0 mm)

#### Limitation

 MR hardware components are not present in the CT-based attenuation maps. Therefore, we added the xMLAA-based hardware estimates to the CT-based attenuation maps.

![](_page_26_Picture_12.jpeg)

# xMR-MLAA Results: Patient 1

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### xMR-MLAA Conclusion

- xMR-MLAA can be employed to jointly estimate both hardware and patient attenuation from the non-TOF PET emission data.
- Patient data show that standard MRAC may result in severe activity underestimation, e.g., around 15% on average for the entire brain if patients wear headphones.
- xMR-MLAA has the potential to reduce the activity underestimation to below 5%.
- TOF information, that was not available for this study, can potentially be incorporated into xMR-MLAA and should yield even better performance.

![](_page_29_Picture_5.jpeg)

- Job opportunities through DKFZ's international PhD or Postdoctoral Fellowship programs (www.dkfz.de), or directly through marc.kachelriess@dkfz.de.
- This work was supported by the Helmholtz International Graduate School for Cancer Research, Heidelberg, Germany. Parts of the reconstruction software were provided by RayConStruct<sup>®</sup> GmbH, Nürnberg, Germany.